



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/755,740		01/05/2001	Bob Lord	Bob Lord PD99-2930	
22879	7590	07/14/2004		EXAMINER	
HEWLET	T PACKA	ARD COMPANY	NGUYEN, LE V		
	,	04 E. HARMONY R			
INTELLEC	CTUAL PR	OPERTY ADMINIS	· ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
FORT COI	LINS, CO	80527-2400		2174	16
				DATE MAILED: 07/14/200	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/755,740	LORD ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Le Nguyen	2174				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply if NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was really received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) da will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDON	mely filed ys will be considered timely. n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 Ap	<u>oril 2004</u> .	•				
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This	action is non-final.					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-27 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-27 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. So ion is required if the drawing(s) is of	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). bjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applica rity documents have been receiv u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	tion No red in this National Stage				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail [5] Notice of Informal 6) Other:					

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 09/755,740

Art Unit: 2174

DETAILED ACTION

1. In view of the appeal brief filed on 4/16/04, PROSECUTION IS HEREBY REOPENED.

New grounds of rejection are set forth below.

To avoid abandonment of the application, appellant must exercise one of the following two options:

- (1) file a reply under 37 CFR 1.111 (if this Office action is non-final) or a reply under 37 CFR 1.113 (if this Office action is final); or,
 - (2) request reinstatement of the appeal.

If reinstatement of the appeal is requested, such request must be accompanied by a supplemental appeal brief, but no new amendments, affidavits (37 CFR 1.130, 1.131 or 1.132) or other evidence are permitted. See 37 CFR 1.193(b)(2).

2. Claims 1-22 are pending in this application. Claims 1, 13, 20 and 27 are independent claims. This action is made non-final.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Banning and Screen Dumps of Microsoft Windows NT ("MS Win").

Art Unit: 2174

As per claim 1, Although Banning teaches a means of transferring information in a computer network from a server to a client computer (col. 5, lines 28-61) and a system for displaying information including a plurality of hierarchically related objects, wherein a viewable subset of the objects is displayed on a display device connected to the client computer in the form of a navigable pane on the display device, the system comprising a tree descriptor array comprising information describing each of the objects to be displayed in the navigation pane (figs. 4B-4C; tree data displayed), a tree descriptor string comprising information describing a hierarchical structure of expanded nodes in the tree wherein the tree descriptor string comprises a list of only those nodes which are to be expanded and displayed on the display device (col. 5, line 5 through col. 6, line 24; col. 7, line 37 through col. 8, line 6; figs. 4B-4C; col. 7, lines 14-25; a representation of TDA and TDS and rendered in pane 104 as a tree view, displaying nodes which are to be expanded and displayed wherein TDS comprises a list of only those nodes which are to be expanded and displayed by such methods as limiting the amount of expansion to a certain number of siblings; moreover, inherent to the tree topology is a tree descriptor string in order for the tree to be displayed), Banning does not explicitly disclose the transferred information to be the information including a plurality of hierarchically related objects. MS Win teaches a system for transferring information in a computer network from a server to a client computer (fig. 1; share drive 100, "S:\Ortiz Oacs"), the information including a plurality of hierarchically related objects wherein a viewable subset of the objects is displayed on a display device connected to the client computer in the form of a navigable pane on the display device (fig. 1, pane 110), the system comprising a tree descriptor array comprising information describing each of the objects to be displayed in the navigation pane, a tree descriptor string

Art Unit: 2174

comprising information describing a hierarchical structure of expanded nodes in the tree wherein the tree descriptor array and the tree descriptor string are sent from the server to the client computer and wherein the tree descriptor string comprises a list of only those nodes which are to be expanded and displayed on the display device (fig. 1; MS Win allows users the ability to add information and have tree data displayed such as tree 120 comprising of only those nodes which are to be expanded wherein a tree descriptor string is inherent in order for the tree to be displayed). Therefore, it would have been obvious to an artisan at the time of the invention to include MS Win's method of transferred information to include a plurality of hierarchically related objects with Banning's method of transferring information in a computer network from a server to a client computer and a system for displaying information including a plurality of hierarchically related objects in order to provide other users of the network access to the information.

As per claims 2 and 3, the modified Banning teaches a system for transferring information in a computer network from a server to a client computer, the information including a plurality of hierarchically related objects, wherein a viewable subset of the objects is displayed on a display device connected to the client computer in the form of a navigable pane on the display device, the system including a managed object list comprising an entry for each of a plurality of managed objects in the navigable tree and a view list comprising a plurality of indicia of object data record, each of which represents a child of one of the managed objects corresponding to an entry in the managed object list wherein each of the entry in the managed object list comprises indicia of an entry in the view list and wherein each one of the object data record include information comprising an inherent Universal Identifier for the object to which a

Art Unit: 2174

given one of the indicia of object data records corresponds and a Universal Identifier for the parent of the object to which a given one of the indicia of object data records corresponds (Banning: fig. 4B; Network 112 contains more than one managed objects with a list of objects specific to a managed object such as "Fs1", "Fs3" and "Share" wherein an identifier for each node, parent or child, is inherent for referencing purposes).

As per claim 4, the modified Banning teaches a system for transferring information in a computer network from a server to a client computer, the information including a plurality of hierarchically related objects, wherein a viewable subset of the objects is displayed on a display device connected to the client computer in the form of a navigable pane on the display device, the system wherein the tree descriptor array comprises information for each object in the viewable subset of the objects to be displayed, including a Universal Identifier of the object, a first index indicating the relative position of the object in the navigable tree, at which a tree segment starts and a second index indicating the relative tree position of the object from its managed object (Banning: figs. 4B; rendered in pane 104 is a view of a tree with objects 112, 114 and Fs1 being in a position relative to each other and reflecting the relationship relative to each other wherein the index of each object is inherent for addressing purposes and wherein an identifier for each node, parent or child, is inherent for referencing purposes).

As per claims 5 and 6, the modified Banning teaches a system for transferring information in a computer network from a server to a client computer, the information including a plurality of hierarchically related objects, wherein a viewable subset of the objects is displayed on a display device connected to the client computer in the form of a navigable pane on the display device, the system wherein the tree descriptor array comprises a first string indicating

Art Unit: 2174

whether the object is expandable and a second string indicating whether the object is presently expanded wherein the tree descriptor string further comprises a representation of the hierarchical structure of open containers in the part of the tree that is being displayed (Banning: col. 2, lines 6-24; figs. 4B-4C a representation of TDA, rendered in pane 104 as a view of a tree, with indicators '+' and '-').

As per claim 7, the modified Banning teaches a system for transferring information in a computer network from a server to a client computer, the information including a plurality of hierarchically related objects, wherein the tree descriptor string further comprises indicia of the location of a cursor on the display device (Banning: col. 4, lines 23-24).

As per claim 8, the modified Banning teaches a system for transferring information in a computer network from a server to a client computer, the information including a plurality of hierarchically related objects, wherein the tree descriptor string further comprises indicia of the state of nodes in the displayed segment of the navigation tree including whether a node comprising a folder is open (Banning: col. 2, lines 6-24; figs. 4B-4C with indicators '+' and '-').

As per claims 9 and 10, the modified Banning teaches a system for transferring information in a computer network from a server to a client computer, the information including a plurality of hierarchically related objects, wherein the client computer uses information in the tree descriptor string to render a view that includes one said expanded nodes and wherein the client computer uses information in the tree descriptor array to render a view that includes the expandable nodes which are to be expanded (Banning: figs. 4B-4C; depicted are elements 112, 114, 116 and 118, which are expanded, and elements "31/2 Floppy [A:]" and "Hard drive [C:]", which are to be expanded).

Art Unit: 2174

As per claim 11, the modified Banning teaches a system for transferring information in a computer network from a server to a client computer, the information including a plurality of hierarchically related objects, wherein, in response to a user of the client computer clicking on one of the expandable nodes, the client computer sends information via the tree descriptor string to the server identifying the node to be expanded (Banning: col. 6, line 48 through col. 7, line 13).

As per claim 12, the modified Banning teaches a system for transferring information in a computer network from a server to a client computer, the information including a plurality of hierarchically related objects, wherein the list contained in the tree descriptor string contains a list of those expandable nodes which are to be expanded and displayed on the display device (Banning: fig. 4B; element "Fs2" of "Network" node).

Claims 13, 20 and 27 are individually similar in scope to claim 1 and are therefore rejected under similar rationale.

Claims 14 and 15 in combination is similar in scope to the combination of claims 2 and 3 and is therefore rejected under similar rationale.

Claims 16 and 21 are individually similar in scope to claim 4 and are therefore rejected under similar rationale.

Claims 17 and 22 are individually similar in scope to claim 5 and are therefore rejected under similar rationale.

Claims 18 and 23 are individually similar in scope to claim 6 and are therefore rejected under similar rationale.

Art Unit: 2174

Page 8

Claims 19 and 24 are individually similar in scope to claim 7 and are therefore rejected

under similar rationale.

Claim 25 is similar in scope to claim 8 and is therefore rejected under similar rationale.

Claim 26 is similar in scope to claim 11 and is therefore rejected under similar rationale.

Inquires

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Examiner Lê whose telephone number is (703) 305-7601. The

examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday from 5:30 am to 2:00 pm (EST).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Kristine Kincaid, can be reached on (703) 308-0640.

The fax numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are

as follows:

(703) 872-9306 [Official Communication]

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

LVN

Patent Examiner

June 29, 2004

Vistine Vincaid
KRISTINE KINCAID

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100